▪ Degree of impact on the panelists (10%)

▪ Exhibits ease of use and user friendliness (20%)

# PRE-DEPLOYMENT DEFENSE EVALUATION





Title of Project: WQMSys:Smart Water Quality Monitoring System with IoT for Santo Tomas Davao del Norte Water District

Name of Presenters: 1. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_   
 2.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

3.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

4.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Individual Grade (50%)**



***VISION***

*A premier Higher Institution*

*in Agri*


*-*

*Fisheries and Socio*

*-*

*cultural Development in the*

*ASEAN Region.*



***MISSION***

*DNSC strives to produce competent human*


*resource, generate, and utilize knowledge and*

*technology,*

*uphold good governance and*

*quality management system for sustainable*

*resources and resilient communities.*



***CORE VALUES***



*Excellence*

*Integrity*


*Innovativeness*

*Stewardship*

*Love of God and Country*



***Institute of Computing***




**DAVAO DEL NORTE**



STATE COLLEGE



New Visayas, Panabo City, Davao del Norte, 8105



president@dnsc.edu.ph

dnsc.edu.ph

@davnorstatecollege



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Criteria and Weight*** |  |  |  | ***Score*** | |  |  |
| ***1*** | ***2*** | ***3*** | ***4*** | ***5*** | ***6*** |
| **I. Disposition (30%)**   * Generally neat appearance * Projects an aura of confidence * Preparedness and teamwork |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **II. Delivery and Presentation (70%)**   1. ***Organization of Content (25%)***     * Sense of segmentation    * Presentation not interrupted to reload a file.    * Smoothness of presentation flow    * No inconsistencies within content 2. ***Manner of Presentation (25%)***     * The presenter does not tend to talk to the screen.    * Does not have some hesitancy in speaking.    * Less consulting of notes and has good eye contact with panelists.    * The volume of voice is adequate and can be heard by everyone.    * Does not delve too long into a specific subject matter.    * Use of clear visuals and appropriate materials for presentation 3. ***Ability to Defend Ideas and Technical Output (20%)***     * Ability to respond to questions and criticisms.    * Has good responses to critiques & and comments.    * Doesn't have any tendency to go into other issues when answering questions.    * Attitude towards suggestions given (i.e., receptive, not hostile or indifferent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Group Grade (50%)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Criteria and Weight*** |  |  |  | ***Score*** |
| **Documentation (50%)**   * Mechanics - Spelling/Punctuation/Grammar/Structure (30%) * Completeness (30%) * Content (should be correct and consistent) (40%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Criteria and Weight*** |  |  |  | ***Score*** |
| **Software (50%)**   * Successful implementation of the set objectives (40%) * Technical output as a reliable proof of concept of the study (30%) * Degree of impact on the panelists (10%) * Exhibits ease of use and user friendliness (20%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  |

**Verdict:**

[ ] Passed (No revisions needed) [ ] Passed (With revisions needed)

[ ] Conditional Passed [ ] Failed

To comply the necessary requirements to get a passing grade on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name and Signature of the Panelist